

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,100	05/09/2001		Bradley A. Ozenberger	AHP 98126 P2	4733
25291	7590	10/23/2003	EXAMINER		
WYETH			NICHOLS, CHRISTOPHER J		
PATENT LA	W GROU	JP			
FIVE GIRAI	LDA FAR	MS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MADISON,	NJ 0794	0	1647		

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	_	09/852,100	OZENBERGER ET AL.				
Office Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher Nichols, Ph.D.	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE OF T - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai - If the period for reply specified about - If NO period for reply is specified about - Failure to reply within the set or exte	HIS COMMUNICATION under the provisions of 37 CFR fling date of this communication. e is less than thirty (30) days, a recove, the maximum statutory perior dove, the maximum statutory perior for reply will, by statur than three months after the mail	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH. I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be seply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON ling date of this communication, even if timely file.	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to comr	nunication(s) filed on <u>18</u>	<u> 3 August 2003</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL	2b)⊠ ¯	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above clair	n(s) <u>1-3 and 10-33</u> is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-8</u> is/are re	jected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are obje	ected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are sul Application Papers	oject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is ot	jected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 11	l9 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)□ All b)□ Some * d	c)☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copie	s of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is ma	ade of a claim for dome:	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statement	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/852,100 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election **without** traverse of Group II (claims 4-9) drawn to SEQ ID NO: 2 and fusion proteins comprising same in the Response filed 16 September 2003 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 10-33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the Response filed 16 September 2003.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because Figure 7 contains amino acid sequences and Figures 9 and 11 contains nucleotide sequences, all three Figures lack the accompanying SEQ ID NO'S. Either inserting the appropriate SEQ ID NO's in the Figures or the Brief Description of the Drawings of said Figure can obviate this objection. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "USP No. 4,518,584" is an unclear abbreviation, the Examiner respectfully recommends "U.S. Patent No. 4,518,584" (pp. 16 line 6); "SEQ ID NO:|" replace with "SEQ ID NO: 1" (pp. 19 line 5). Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,100 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

Sequence Rules

5. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth below. This application discloses an amino acid sequence on pp. 35-41, 49, 51, 52, and 58 in addition to Figures 10, 12, and 13. Correction is required.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 9 depends from a rejected claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are drawn to "A protein" which are products of nature and therefor non-statutory subject matter. Applicant can obviate this rejection by amending said claims to read "An isolated protein" or "A substantially purified protein" for example.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1647

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 9. The invention appears to employ novel protein (i.e., "BBP1-f1"). Since the protein is essential to the claimed invention it must be obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification or otherwise readily available to the public. If the protein is not so obtainable or available, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112 may be satisfied by a deposit of the protein molecules.
- 10. The specification does not disclose a repeatable process to obtain the protein encoded by the cDNA insert of clone BBP1-fl deposited under accession number ATCC 98617 and it is not apparent if the protein are readily available to the public. It is noted that Applicant has deposited the protein with ATCC (p. 9 of the specification), but there is no indication in the specification as to public availability.
- If the deposit is made under the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicant, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number, stating that the specific protein or cDNA have been deposited under the Budapest Treaty and that the protein encoded by the cDNA will be irrevocably and without restriction or

Art Unit: 1647

condition released to the public upon the issuance of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirement made herein.

- 12. The Examiner respectfully suggests that Applicant provide assurance of compliance by an affidavit or declaration, or by a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number, showing that:
- (a) during the pendency of this application, access to the invention will be afforded to the Commissioner upon request;
- (b) all restrictions upon availability to the public will be irrevocably removed upon granting of the patent;

37 C.F.R. § 1.807); and

- (c) the deposit will be maintained in a public depository for a period of 30 years or 5 years after the last request or for the effective life of the patent, whichever is longer;
- (d) a test of the viability of the biological material at the time of deposit will be made (see
- (e) the deposit will be replaced if it should ever become inviable.
- Applicant's attention is directed to M.P.E.P. §2400 in general, and specifically to §2411.05, as well as to 37 C.F.R. § 1.809(d), wherein it is set forth that "the specification shall contain the accession number for the deposit, the date of the deposit, the name and address of the depository, and a description of the deposited material sufficient to specifically identify it and to permit examination." Finally, Applicant is advised that the address for the ATCC has recently changed, and that the new address should appear in the specification. The new address is:

Application/Control Number: 09/852,100

Art Unit: 1647

American Type Culture Collection

10801 University Boulevard

Manassas, VA 20110-2209

14. Claim 8 is are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled

in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

claimed invention.

15. The claim is drawn to "a BBP1". The claims do not require that the polypeptide possess

any particular conserved structure, or other distinguishing feature, such as a specific biological

activity. Thus, the claims are drawn to a genus of polypeptides that is defined by membership to

a group ill-defined in the claims.

16. To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of a claimed genus,

the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus.

The factors to be considered include disclosure of complete or partial structure, physical and/or

chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making

the claimed product, and any combination thereof. In this case, the only factor present in the

claim that is sufficiently disclosed is a genus. The only adequately described species of this

genus is a polypeptide comprising SEQ ID NO: 2. Accordingly, the specification does not

provide adequate written description of the claimed genus.

17. Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states "applicant must convey with

reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in

possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry,

Page 6

Art Unit: 1647

whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). As discussed above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed genus of polypeptides, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016.

- 18. One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In *Fiddes*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.
- 19. Therefore, only isolated fusion proteins comprising the amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, but not the full breadth of the claim meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision.
- 20. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear from the Specification or the prior art of what the metes and bounds of "heterologous protein or peptide sequence" are.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,100 Page 8

Art Unit: 1647

Summary

- 21. Claims 4-8 are hereby rejected.
- 22. Claim 9 is objected to for depending from a rejected claim.
- 23. The following articles, patents, and published patent applications were found by the Examiner during the art search while not relied upon are considered pertinent to the instant application:
 - a. Kajkowski *et al.* (1 June 2001) "β-Amyloid Peptide-Induced Apoptosis Regulated by Novel Protein Containing a G Protein Activation Module." <u>The Journal of Biological Chemistry</u> **276**(22): 18748-18756.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,100

Art Unit: 1647

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher James Nichols, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

703-305-3955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00AM to

5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Kunz, Ph.D. can be reached on 703-308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular

communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications. The fax phone numbers for

the customer service center is 703-872-9305.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

CJN

October 20, 2003

ELIZABETH KEMMERER

ayaber C. Hemmun.

Page 7